

## **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

COMMISSIONER OF TATEM SAME	LINADEINIAL
Washington, D.C. 20231	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	ENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/513,086	02/24/00	MANSFIELD		<b>L</b>	MSU 4.1-458
		HM12/0409	$\neg$	EXAMINER	
021036 MCLEOD & M	OYNE	MMIZ/0407		WOITAC	H,J
2190 COMMO	NS PARKWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OKEMOS MI.	48864			1632	7
				DATE MAILED:	04/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 





## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/513,086

Applicant(s)

Mansfield, L.S. et al.

Examiner

Joseph T. Woitach

Group Art Unit 1632

THE PEI	
a) [	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
p) [∑	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
date	extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be lated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appo perio	ellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any od for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applica out is N	nt's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 30, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X The	proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
X	will not be entered because:
X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	,
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
N	OTE: The proposed amendments to claims 4 and 23 change the scope of the claim and raise new 112 second
	paragraph issues.
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
☐ Nev	vly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
seb	
X The for <u>Ap</u>	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because:  blicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.
X The for App	allowance because: olicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present
X The for Applied in the	allowance because:  blicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present  the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.
X The for Applied in the the	allowance because:  blicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present  the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by  Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
X The for Applied in the the X For Clair	allowance because:  olicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present  the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by  Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ms allowed:
X The for App in to the the X For Clair	allowance because:  olicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present  the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by  Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ms allowed:
X The for App in t.  The the X For Clair Clair	allowance because:  olicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present  the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by  Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ms allowed:  ms objected to:
X The for Apply in the the X For Clair Clair	allowance because:  blicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present  the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by  Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ms allowed:  ms objected to:  ms rejected: 4-9, 13-17, 23-28, 45, 46, 49, and 50
X The for Apply in the the X For Clair Clair Clair	allowance because:  olicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present  the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by  Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ms allowed:  ms objected to:  ms rejected: 4-9, 13-17, 23-28, 45, 46, 49, and 50  proposed drawing correction filed on
X The for Appliant. The the X For Clair Clair Clair Clair Not	allowance because:  olicants arguments do not provide evidence or a nexus to why antibodies to the proposed antigens already present the equid do not provide protection and how the proposed antigens will function any differently.  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.  purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  ms allowed: ms objected to: ms rejected: 4-9, 13-17, 23-28, 45, 46, 49, and 50  proposed drawing correction filed on